

Advancing Global Cybersecurity and Stability:

Regulating Emerging Technologies, Combating Misinformation, and Establishing International Norms

A Comprehensive Guide for the UN General Assembly First Committee (DISEC)

DISEC Secretariat Analysis Group

December 2025

Executive Summary

The security landscape of the 21st century is increasingly defined by digital threats. As the world becomes hyper-connected, the UN General Assembly First Committee (DISEC) faces the urgent task of translating established principles of international security and disarmament into actionable norms for cyberspace. This comprehensive guide addresses the core DISEC agenda items—regulating emerging technologies, combating misinformation, and establishing international norms—which are critical for maintaining global peace and stability.

The primary challenges are twofold: the lack of international consensus on responsible state behavior, and the rapid evolution of dual-use technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and offensive cyber capabilities. These factors, compounded by widespread malicious influence operations (misinformation), threaten democratic institutions and critical infrastructure globally.

This guide advocates for a proactive, consensus-driven approach. Key recommendations include negotiating a binding Cyber Peace Treaty that clearly defines prohibited targets (e.g., healthcare systems), establishing mandatory international frameworks for AI governance that incorporate security and ethical oversight, and significantly enhancing capacity building for developing nations to ensure global resilience. By prioritizing prevention, transparency, and multilateral cooperation, DISEC can mitigate the risks of cyber conflict and secure the digital commons.

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1 Introduction: The Digitalization of Global Security	4
1.1 The DISEC Mandate in Cyberspace	4
1.2 Overview of Key Thematic Areas	4
1.2.1 Cyber Hygiene and Foundational Resilience	4
1.2.2 Regulating Emerging Technologies and Risks	5
1.3 The Imperative for Global Cooperation	5
2 The Evolving Cyber Threat Landscape	6
2.1 Attacks on Critical National Infrastructure (CNI)	6
2.2 The Rise of State-Sponsored Cyber Operations	6
2.3 Jurisdictional Fragmentation and Enforcement Challenges	6
3 Emerging Technologies and the Dual-Use Dilemma	8
3.1 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning	8
3.1.1 The Ethical and Human Rights Angle	8
3.2 Quantum Computing and Cryptography	8
3.3 Blockchain and Decentralized Systems	9
4 The Threat to Global Information Integrity	10
4.1 Nature of Malicious Influence Operations (MIOs)	10
4.1.1 Key Techniques and Impact	10
4.2 Navigating the Free Speech vs. Security Dilemma	10
4.3 Platform Responsibility	11
5 Current International Norms and Governance Gaps	12
5.1 The United Nations Frameworks	12
5.1.1 Key Achievements (GGE and OEWG)	12
5.2 Non-Binding International Instruments	12
5.3 Critical Governance Gaps	12
6 Policy Roadmap for Stability and Security	14
6.1 Pillar I: Regulations for Emerging Technologies	14
6.1.1 Global AI Governance Framework	14
6.1.2 Addressing Quantum Risk	14
6.2 Pillar II: Establishing Binding International Norms	14
6.2.1 The UN Global Cyber Peace Treaty	14
6.3 Pillar III: Misinformation Prevention Mechanisms	15
6.3.1 Fact-Checking and Transparency	15
7 Capacity Building and Digital Diplomacy	16
7.1 Addressing the Low Cyber Capacity in Developing States	16
7.1.1 DISEC-Led Capacity Building Programs	16
7.2 Enhancing Digital Diplomacy and Cooperation	16

7.3 Promoting Digital Ethics and Human Rights	17
8 Conclusion and Call to Action	18
8.1 Securing the Digital Commons	18
8.2 Final Policy Imperatives	18
Appendix: Relevant Resources and Further Reading	19
8.3 UN and Related Bodies	19
8.4 Key International Legal Texts and Doctrines	19
8.5 Industry and Academic Initiatives	19

Chapter 1

Introduction: The Digitalization of Global Security

1.1 The DISEC Mandate in Cyberspace

The UN General Assembly First Committee (DISEC) is charged with addressing disarmament and related international security challenges. In the contemporary era, this mandate inescapably includes the digital domain. Cyber operations—from espionage and sabotage to information warfare—now possess the destructive potential of conventional conflict, albeit often without explicit attribution or clear lines of deterrence.

The digital transformation, while driving innovation, has introduced systemic vulnerabilities:

1. **Interdependence Risk:** Critical National Infrastructure (CNI) for power, finance, and healthcare is globally interconnected, meaning a cyberattack in one jurisdiction can generate cascade failures worldwide.
2. **Dual-Use Technologies:** Emerging technologies like AI and quantum computing inherently possess both defensive (security) and offensive (weaponization) capabilities, complicating non-proliferation efforts.
3. **Erosion of Truth:** Widespread, automated misinformation campaigns undermine the trust necessary for stable international relations and functional democratic processes.

This guide provides the analytical basis and policy framework to address these three critical areas: regulating emerging technologies, combating misinformation, and establishing binding international norms.

1.2 Overview of Key Thematic Areas

1.2.1 Cyber Hygiene and Foundational Resilience

Global security begins at the individual and institutional level. Low levels of cyber hygiene—weak passwords, lack of two-factor authentication (2FA), and poor secure browsing habits—represent the most frequent initial access vector for sophisticated attacks. DISEC must promote the institutionalization of robust cybersecurity standards across member states as a fundamental pre-condition for collective security.

- **Importance of Secure Behavior:** States must champion practices that reduce digital footprints and prevent cyberstalking/cyberbullying, which are often precursors to larger-scale attacks or exploitation.
- **Supply Chain Security:** Given the globalized nature of software, regulating and auditing the cybersecurity practices of technology suppliers is paramount to preventing state-level infiltration.

1.2.2 Regulating Emerging Technologies and Risks

The accelerating development cycle of AI, blockchain, and quantum computing poses regulatory challenges. DISEC's focus must be on preventing the weaponization of these tools.

- **AI in Cyber Warfare:** AI-powered defensive systems offer unprecedented speed in threat detection, but offensive AI can generate sophisticated, polymorphic malware that adapts in real-time, outpacing human defenders.
- **Autonomous Weapons Systems (AWS):** The integration of cyber capabilities into AWS raises profound ethical and security questions regarding human control and accountability in lethal decision-making.
- **Cryptocurrency Misuse:** The use of decentralized financial tools for money laundering, sanction evasion, and ransomware payments complicates international law enforcement and financial stability.

1.3 The Imperative for Global Cooperation

Cybercrime and state-sponsored operations inherently ignore physical borders. The current patchwork of national laws and regional treaties is insufficient to address cross-border jurisdictional issues, making unified, multilateral collaboration the only viable path to stability.

Chapter 2

The Evolving Cyber Threat Landscape

The threats faced by the international community are increasing in volume, sophistication, and severity, with clear national security and human rights implications.

2.1 Attacks on Critical National Infrastructure (CNI)

CNI includes the assets essential for a country's functioning (power grids, telecommunications, financial markets, hospitals). Targeting these systems constitutes an act of aggression and potentially a violation of international humanitarian law (IHL).

- **Industrial Control Systems (ICS):** Attacks targeting Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems, like those used in oil pipelines or water treatment plants, can cause real-world physical destruction and mass disruption.
- **Healthcare Sector Vulnerability:** Hospitals and public health systems are increasingly targeted by ransomware due to their reliance on immediate access to data, their often-outdated security systems, and their reluctance to shut down operations. Such attacks directly compromise the right to life and health.

2.2 The Rise of State-Sponsored Cyber Operations

Attribution in cyberspace remains notoriously difficult, allowing states to employ sophisticated proxy groups or masking techniques to carry out operations for geopolitical gain without immediate reprisal.

- **Cyber Espionage and Intellectual Property Theft:** Large-scale, sustained campaigns by state actors to steal industrial secrets, military blueprints, and sensitive government data undermine economic competitiveness and national security.
- **Coercion and Destabilization:** Using cyber operations to undermine public confidence in democratic processes, manipulate financial markets, or intimidate neighboring states is a form of cyber-enabled coercion.
- **Ransomware as a Geopolitical Tool:** While often perpetrated by private criminal groups, some ransomware operations are supported, tolerated, or indirectly guided by state actors, blurring the line between criminal activity and statecraft.

2.3 Jurisdictional Fragmentation and Enforcement Challenges

The lack of a centralized global cyber-authority and conflicting national laws hamstring law enforcement efforts.

- **Extradition Difficulties:** Cybercriminals often operate from jurisdictions that refuse or lack the legal mechanisms to extradite them, leading to impunity.

- **Evidence Collection:** The rapid, transient nature of digital evidence and the necessity for cross-border data access (data sovereignty issues) make prosecuting cyber-crimes exceedingly complicated.
- **Cyber Sovereignty Debate:** The fundamental disagreement between states advocating for an open, global internet (multi-stakeholder model) and those prioritizing state control and data localization ("cyber sovereignty") prevents the formation of universally binding treaties.

Chapter 3

Emerging Technologies and the Dual-Use Dilemma

Emerging technologies present a classic dual-use challenge: systems designed for civilian or defensive applications can be rapidly repurposed for offensive operations, threatening disarmament efforts.

3.1 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning

AI is now central to both the offense and defense of the digital realm, demanding urgent governance frameworks.

Table 3.1: AI in Cyber Defense vs. Offense

Defensive Applications (Security)	Offensive Applications (Risk)
Automated threat detection (anomaly identification).	Automated vulnerability discovery and exploitation.
Predictive defense (anticipating attacker behavior).	AI-powered social engineering and deepfake generation.
Real-time patch management and self-healing networks.	Creation of polymorphic malware that changes its signature constantly.

3.1.1 The Ethical and Human Rights Angle

The use of AI in national security must be strictly regulated to protect human rights.

- **AI Bias in Policing and Surveillance:** Algorithmic systems used for predictive policing or mass surveillance often exhibit racial or social biases derived from flawed training data, leading to disproportionate targeting of minority groups.
- **Autonomous Weapons Systems (AWS):** AI integration into lethal systems, including cyber capabilities, mandates a DISEC discussion on maintaining meaningful human control over the initiation of conflict.

3.2 Quantum Computing and Cryptography

The theoretical advent of fault-tolerant quantum computers (FTQC) poses an existential threat to current public-key cryptography, the foundational security layer for the internet, banking, and government communications.

- **Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC):** States must immediately mandate and fund the transition to PQC standards (algorithms resistant to quantum attacks) across all critical infrastructure to prevent a future "harvest now, decrypt later" attack scenario.
- **DISEC Focus:** Establish international cooperation standards for the responsible research and deployment of quantum technologies, preventing a quantum arms race.

3.3 Blockchain and Decentralized Systems

While blockchain offers opportunities for tamper-proof identity verification, supply chain tracing, and resilient governance, its anonymity and borderless nature are exploited by criminals.

- **Regulation of Crypto-Asset Flows:** DISEC and associated bodies must address the challenge of tracking illicit financial flows, money laundering, and the movement of funds linked to state-backed cyber operations.
- **Digital Identity Standards:** Promoting blockchain-based, self-sovereign identity verification systems could enhance security and reduce fraud, but requires global interoperability standards.

Chapter 4

The Threat to Global Information Integrity

Misinformation and malicious influence operations (MIOs) are sophisticated, state-level threats that target social cohesion, democratic stability, and the ability of populations to make informed decisions. This is cyber-enabled political conflict.

4.1 Nature of Malicious Influence Operations (MIOs)

MIOs use digital tools (bots, deepfakes, compromised accounts) to spread propaganda and misinformation, fundamentally blurring the line between free speech and harmful manipulation.

4.1.1 Key Techniques and Impact

- **Deepfakes and Synthetic Media:** The cost and technical difficulty of creating hyper-realistic audio, video, and text are rapidly falling, enabling adversaries to create manufactured events or put false words into the mouths of public figures. This creates a powerful tool for political manipulation and societal chaos.
- **Automated Bot Networks:** Sophisticated networks of automated accounts are used to amplify divisive content, suppress legitimate voices, and create the illusion of widespread public consensus (astroturfing) on extreme positions.
- **Weaponized Narratives:** Adversaries weaponize existing social fractures (e.g., race, immigration, public health) by targeting specific, vulnerable groups with tailored, emotionally charged disinformation.
- **Impact on Elections:** Foreign interference in elections, often through MIOs, undermines public trust in democratic institutions and the legitimacy of governments, creating instability.

4.2 Navigating the Free Speech vs. Security Dilemma

DISEC must recognize the delicate balance between combating harmful propaganda and protecting fundamental rights under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR, Article 19).

- **Censorship Risks:** Any government effort to control misinformation can be misused as a pretext for censoring political opposition, journalists, or human rights advocates.
- **Transparency over Content Removal:** Solutions must focus on transparency—giving users context about who is creating and amplifying content (e.g., labeling state-controlled media or bot networks)—rather than broad-based content removal.

4.3 Platform Responsibility

Digital platforms are the primary vector for MIOs but often lack the incentive or the resources to effectively moderate content, particularly in non-English speaking jurisdictions.

- **Algorithmic Amplification:** Platform algorithms designed to maximize engagement inadvertently promote sensational, extreme, and often false content, giving MIOs a built-in advantage.
- **Resource Disparity (Global South):** Platforms invest disproportionately small amounts of time and funds into content moderation in languages and regions of the Global South, where misinformation can quickly lead to real-world violence or political instability.

Chapter 5

Current International Norms and Governance Gaps

The foundation for responsible state behavior in cyberspace has been laid by the United Nations, but implementation remains voluntary and contested.

5.1 The United Nations Frameworks

The UN has led two critical, parallel processes to develop consensus on cyber norms: the Group of Governmental Experts (GGE) and the Open-Ended Working Group (OEWG).

5.1.1 Key Achievements (GGE and OEWG)

- ▶ **Application of IHL:** There is consensus that existing International Law, particularly the UN Charter and International Humanitarian Law (IHL), applies to cyberspace.
- ▶ **Eleven Agreed Norms:** The GGE reports (2010, 2013, 2015) established eleven voluntary, non-binding norms for responsible state behavior, including the commitment not to damage critical infrastructure and to cooperate on cybercrime.
- ▶ **Confidence-Building Measures (CBMs):** Agreement to use CBMs, such as established points of contact between states, to reduce the risk of miscalculation during cyber incidents.

5.2 Non-Binding International Instruments

- **The Tallinn Manual (2013, 2017):** A non-binding academic reference for applying existing international law (IHL, *jus ad bellum*, *jus in bello*) to state conduct in cyberspace. While influential, it is not a legally negotiated treaty.
- **The Budapest Convention on Cybercrime (2001):** While crucial for cross-border criminal investigations and procedural law, its scope is limited to criminal acts and does not address state-sponsored political or military operations.
- **Regional Frameworks (EU, African Union):** Regional efforts, such as the EU's Cybersecurity Act and the African Union's Malabo Convention, show progress, but lack global reach and harmonization.

5.3 Critical Governance Gaps

The international community has failed to progress from voluntary norms to binding commitments, leaving a dangerous regulatory vacuum.

1. **Lack of Binding Commitments:** The current GGE/OEWG norms are voluntary, meaning states can agree to them without legal accountability for non-compliance.
2. **Defining 'Armed Attack':** There is no consensus on what constitutes a cyber operation severe enough to trigger the right to self-defense under Article 51 of the UN

Charter (an "armed attack"). This ambiguity increases the risk of escalation.

3. **Cyber Weaponization Treaty Void:** Unlike nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons, there is no global, binding treaty to limit the development, proliferation, or export of offensive cyber tools, creating a global cyber arms race.
4. **Attribution and Deterrence Failure:** The difficulty in attributing attacks undermines deterrence. If an aggressor cannot be definitively identified, it is impossible to apply targeted sanctions or proportional retaliation, eroding the principle of state responsibility.

Chapter 6

Policy Roadmap for Stability and Security

DISEC must move beyond debating voluntary norms to implementing concrete, legally-backed policy solutions across three strategic pillars.

6.1 Pillar I: Regulations for Emerging Technologies

6.1.1 Global AI Governance Framework

- ▶ **Mandatory Security Certification:** Institute an international certification standard (e.g., ISO/IEC 27001-C) for all AI and IoT devices used in Critical National Infrastructure, ensuring security-by-design and rigorous vulnerability testing.
- ▶ **AI Impact Assessment (AIIA):** Require all member states and large technology providers to conduct mandatory Human Rights and Security Impact Assessments (AIIAs) before deploying any high-risk AI system (e.g., facial recognition, predictive policing).
- ▶ **Export Controls on Offensive AI:** Expand the Wassenaar Arrangement or create a new export control regime specifically designed to prevent the proliferation of AI tools and capabilities optimized for cyber offense.

6.1.2 Addressing Quantum Risk

- ▶ **PQC Mandate and Timelines:** DISEC should issue a resolution calling for all member states to establish legally binding timelines for the transition of government and CNI communications to Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC).
- ▶ **Shared Research Framework:** Establish a UN-backed consortium to share non-offensive quantum research and minimize the knowledge gap between major powers, thereby preventing a destabilizing quantum asymmetry.

6.2 Pillar II: Establishing Binding International Norms

6.2.1 The UN Global Cyber Peace Treaty

DISEC must initiate negotiations for a universal, binding treaty that clarifies acceptable state behavior and strengthens accountability.

- ▶ **Prohibited Targets List:** Establish clear, legally binding rules prohibiting attacks on specific, non-military, non-reducible CNI targets (hospitals, schools, civilian energy infrastructure, global financial transfer systems) in both peacetime and conflict.
- ▶ **Mandatory Attribution Cooperation:** Require states to cooperate fully in attributing cyber incidents, including sharing technical data and legal assistance, subject to established judicial oversight.
- ▶ **Strengthened *Jus Ad Bellum* Clarity:** Define criteria for a cyber operation that constitutes a use of force or an armed attack, thereby clarifying the threshold for legitimate

self-defense under UN Charter Article 51.

6.3 Pillar III: Misinformation Prevention Mechanisms

6.3.1 Fact-Checking and Transparency

The emphasis should be on empowering citizens and promoting source integrity, rather than censorship.

- ▶ **International Fact-Checking Network (IFCN) Support:** DISEC should provide financial and diplomatic support to bolster independent, cross-border verification networks and promote their findings globally.
- ▶ **Digital Provenance Standards:** Mandate the use of verifiable digital provenance technology (e.g., C2PA standards) for all public-facing media, allowing citizens and researchers to definitively track the origin and modification history of images, video, and audio, particularly deepfakes.
- ▶ **Digital Media Literacy in Education:** Call for the mandatory integration of critical thinking, source verification, and media literacy modules into national education systems to inoculate future generations against MIOs.

The successful implementation of these pillars requires not just regulation, but robust defense and response capabilities.

Chapter 7

Capacity Building and Digital Diplomacy

Global cybersecurity stability is impossible when a significant number of member states lack the resources and expertise to defend themselves. The principle of collective security demands significant, targeted investment in capacity building for developing nations.

7.1 Addressing the Low Cyber Capacity in Developing States

Developing nations, particularly Small Island Developing States (SIDS), often have highly vulnerable digital infrastructures and limited resources, making them easy targets for exploitation or serving as launchpads for further attacks.

7.1.1 DISEC-Led Capacity Building Programs

- **UN Cyber Resilience Fund:** Establish a permanent UN-led fund, supported by assessed contributions and private sector donations, specifically dedicated to financing cybersecurity infrastructure and training in the Global South.
- **National CERT/CSIRT Development:** Provide technical and financial support for the establishment and operational funding of national Computer Emergency Response Teams (CERTs) and Computer Security Incident Response Teams (CSIRTs) in all member states, ensuring they can actively monitor and respond to domestic threats.
- **Cyber Security Personnel Exchange Program:** Create a UN-sponsored program to facilitate the exchange of cybersecurity professionals between technologically advanced states and developing nations for extended training and mentorship periods.

Resource: The Global Cyber Security Index (GCI) by the ITU, which tracks the cybersecurity commitment of states. **Link:** <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Cybersecurity/Pages/GCI.aspx>

7.2 Enhancing Digital Diplomacy and Cooperation

Effective incident response and prevention rely on trust and established communication channels between states.

- **Information-Sharing Channels:** Formalize 24/7 technical and diplomatic information-sharing channels between national CERTs, militaries, and foreign ministries to rapidly de-escalate potential conflicts and share threat intelligence.
- **Joint Cyber Exercises:** Mandate and sponsor regular, regional and global joint cyber exercises and simulated attack response drills involving military and civilian government agencies to test existing CBMs and improve interoperability.
- **Inclusion of Civil Society and Private Sector:** Digital diplomacy must embrace a multi-stakeholder model. The private sector, which owns and operates most critical

infrastructure, and civil society, which monitors human rights implications, must be formally integrated into UN cyber norm discussions.

7.3 Promoting Digital Ethics and Human Rights

Cybersecurity measures, particularly those involving surveillance and data collection, must not become a pretext for state overreach or censorship.

- **Transparency in Algorithms:** Encourage transparency in state algorithms used for public service provision or law enforcement, ensuring citizens can challenge automated decisions that impact their rights.
- **Oversight of Surveillance Tools:** DISEC must collaborate with the UNHRC to ensure that export controls and deployment guidelines for surveillance technologies strictly adhere to human rights law and are subject to democratic oversight.

Chapter 8

Conclusion and Call to Action

8.1 Securing the Digital Commons

The challenge of advancing global cybersecurity is synonymous with the challenge of maintaining international stability. The digital domain is the ultimate global common, shared by all, and its security cannot be left to the unilateral actions of a few major powers or the commercial incentives of private technology companies.

DISEC's agenda—regulating emerging technologies, combating misinformation, and establishing international norms—is precisely the comprehensive approach required to stabilize this domain. The current environment, defined by voluntary norms and a lack of accountability, is a recipe for catastrophic miscalculation and conflict.

8.2 Final Policy Imperatives

The international community must commit to three non-negotiable imperatives:

1. **Legally Binding Norms:** Move immediately from non-binding norms (GGE/OEWG) to the negotiation of a global, verifiable Cyber Peace Treaty that clarifies IHL application and defines prohibited targets.
2. **Pre-emptive Regulation:** Establish a mandatory international governance framework for AI and dual-use technologies, backed by export controls and mandatory security certifications, to manage their proliferation risk.
3. **Collective Resilience:** Fund and deploy significant capacity-building resources to developing nations to achieve a uniform global floor for cyber defense and minimize systemic instability.

DISEC holds the responsibility to ensure that the promise of digital connectivity is realized in a framework of peace and security. Failure to act decisively risks the weaponization of the internet and the destabilization of the international order.

Appendix: Relevant Resources and Further Reading

8.3 UN and Related Bodies

- **UN GGE Reports:** Reports of the Group of Governmental Experts on developments in the field of information and telecommunications in the context of international security.
- **UN OEWG Documents:** Official records and substantive reports of the Open-Ended Working Group on ICTs.
- **UNESCO:** Resources on media and information literacy (MIL) and the ethics of AI.
- **International Telecommunication Union (ITU):** The Global Cybersecurity Index (GCI) and reports on infrastructure security.

8.4 Key International Legal Texts and Doctrines

- **UN Charter (Articles 2 and 51):** Foundational principles on the use of force and self-defense applied to cyberspace.
- **Tallinn Manual 3.0 (Forthcoming):** Updated non-binding expert analysis on the application of international law to cyber operations.
- **Budapest Convention on Cybercrime (2001):** Core instrument for international legal cooperation on cybercrime.
- **International Humanitarian Law (IHL) Treaties:** Rules governing the conduct of cyber warfare (e.g., principles of distinction and proportionality).

8.5 Industry and Academic Initiatives

- **CyberPeace Institute:** Focuses on holding actors accountable for cyber harm and promoting digital peace.
- **Global Commission on the Stability of Cyberspace (GCSC):** Developed a series of non-binding norms for the stability of cyberspace.
- **Wassenaar Arrangement:** Multi-lateral export control regime for conventional arms and dual-use goods and technologies (including cyber tools).